JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (2) PLAINTIFFS Michelle Quigley 86 Percheron Drive Spring City, PA 19475		DEFENDANTS Iron Mountain, Inc. 1101 Enterprise Drive Royersford, PA 19468								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chester				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Graham F. Baird, Law Office of Eric A. Shore				Attorneys (If Known,						
2 Penn Center, 1500 JFF Tel: 267-546-0131	K Blvd, Suite 1240, Ph	iladelphia, PA 19102	2							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	Ine Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINC	IPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in O	ne Box f	or Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	→ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			PTF D	EF Incorporated or Proof Business In 7	rincipal Place	Defende PTF	<i>int)</i> DEF □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	J·2 [J 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	5	5	
				n or Subject of a Ceign Country	J 3 C	J 3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 70		(Click here for: Nature				
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	New 1985	FEITURE/PENALTY Drug Related Seizure	G 422	Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER ST		ES	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	1000	Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))			
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical				OPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust	 □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust 		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			□ 830		☐ 430 Banks and ☐ 450 Commerce	e	g	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			300 5505	Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportatio ☐ 470 Racketeer		ed and	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	Y	LABOR		Trademark CIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Or 480 Consumer		ons	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 710	Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861	HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat	TV	****	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 720	Labor/Management	□ 863	Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/ Exchange			
☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	☐ 740	Relations Railway Labor Act		SSID Title XVI RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other State ☐ 891 Agricultura	utory Ac al Acts	tions	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	☐ 751	Family and Medical Leave Act		95 9 1 1 500	☐ 893 Environme ☐ 895 Freedom o	ntal Matt		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	_	Other Labor Litigation		DERAL TAX SUITS	Act		utton	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	791	Employee Retirement Income Security Act		Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administra		cedure	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		TO THE SAME SERVICE OF THE SAME OF THE SAM		IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review	w or App		
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations	☐ 530 General				20 030 7009	Agency De 950 Constitutio		f	
290 All Other Real Property	★ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	O 462	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application	n		State Statut	tes		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights		Other Immigration Actions						
	448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions						
	a.	☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			1					
V ODICIN		Confinement								
	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope	ened Anothe	er Distric	t Litigation	i- Li	itigation	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	142 U.S.C.A. § 12		filing (De	(specify) o not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unle	Transfer ss diversity):	Di	irect Fil	<u>e</u>	
vii elless of herio	Brief description of ca Americans with D									
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMANDS CHECK YES only if dema					20 20	omplain	it:			
VIII. RELATED CASE				-3,000.00		JOHN DEMAND:	X 1 CS	- NO		
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOC	CKET NUMBER				
PATE 2/6/18 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1/		-(12		$\checkmark\!\!\!/\!\!\!-$				
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

E-Mail Address

michelle Quigley v. Inn mountain, Inc., et al No.							
Inon mountain, Inc., et al No.							
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.							
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:							
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)							
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(W)	-					
Date Orahan F. Baird Michelle Quigley Attorney-at-law Attorney for							
267-546-0131 215-944-6124 grahamb@encshor	e.cern						

FAX Number

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Telephone

Case 2:18-cv-00485-GAM Document 1 Filed 02/06/18 Page 3 of 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 80 Perchan Dnve, Spring aty, PA 19475 Enterprise Drive, Royers Ford Address of Defendant: [[]] Enterprise Drive. Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? No D Yes 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? No Yes 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ Nou 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No⊡ Yes□ CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. □ FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3.

Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4.

Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5.

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8.

Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court

except as noted above.

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Attorney-at-Law

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHELLE QUIGLEY
86 Percheron Drive
Spring City, PA 19475

Plaintiff,

v.

No.

IRON MOUNTAIN, INC.
1101 Enterprise Drive
Royersford, PA 19468

And

IRON MOUNTAIN, INC.
1 Federal Street
Boston, MA 02110

Defendants

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, MICHELLE QUIGLEY (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- 2. Defendant, IRON MOUNTAIN, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant") is a corporation organized by and operating under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and having a corporate headquarters at the above captioned address. Defendant is also a corporation organized by and operating under the laws of the State of Massachusetts and having a corporate headquarters at the above captioned address. Defendant Iron Mountain, Inc. is a citizen of Massachusetts.

- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendants are acting together, in concert, by and on each other's behalf, and are owners, operators, proprietors and managers of an Iron Mountain records management facility located at 1101 Enterprise Drive in Royersford, Pennsylvania, a business. Defendants qualify as Plaintiff's employer pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA").
- 4. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. (See Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of a "right-to-sue" letter issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and her dual filing certification with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.)
- This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990,
 the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and applicable federal law.
 - 6. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 7. Supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendants conducts business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania at the time of the illegal actions by Defendants as set forth herein.

III. Operative Facts.

9. On September 3, 2003, Defendants hired Plaintiff as a customer service representative for their Royersford, Pennsylvania records management facility. She was

promoted to the position of Senior Customer Service Representative in 2015.

- 10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff is diagnosed with Bipolar Disorder II and is under the care of a psychiatrist, Dr. Shamsi.
- 11. Due to the nature of her disorder, Plaintiff sometimes undergoes periods where her mental state decompensates, and she requires reduced workload and/or time off from work in which to work with her psychiatrist until she is stabilized.
- 12. Plaintiff underwent one such period of decompensation beginning in July of 2016, at which time she submitted a request for an accommodation that involved her work being monitored remotely rather than side-by-side as the side-by-side evaluation was causing Plaintiff extreme anxiety and panic.
- 13. Plaintiff subsequently submitted a second request for accommodation in September of 2016, requesting that she be able to take up to four (4) days off consecutively up to a maximum of four (4) times per month.
- 14. On October 16, 2016, as directed by her doctor, Plaintiff went out of work on an approved FMLA leave.
- 15. At this time, Plaintiff also applied for and was approved for short-term disability payments through Cigna.
- 16. Due to the nature of Plaintiff's psychiatric medication management, Plaintiff's return to work date was delayed several times by Dr. Shamsi.
- During her leave, Plaintiff informed Defendants' Employee Relations Case
 Manager, Gerald Schulz, each time her return to work date was changed.
- 18. On March 4, 2017, Plaintiff had an appointment with Dr. Shamsi in which it was determined she was still not stabilized enough to return to work.

- 19. Plaintiff emailed Mr. Schulz to inform him that although she was not given an exact return-to-work date, Dr. Shamsi would not clear her to return to work until May, 2017.
- 20. Shortly after this email, Plaintiff called Mr. Schulz and verbally informed him that she would be able to return to work on May 15, 2017.
- 21. On April 21, 2017, Plaintiff received an email from Mr. Schulz containing a termination letter, stating that Plaintiff was being terminated because she had been absent from work since October 16, 2016 and had "no expected return date." Defendants further explained her job protection had been exhausted under FMLA and they had a "business need" to hire a replacement.
- 22. During her absence and up to her termination, there was no discussion regarding further accommodating Plaintiff's disability.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendants hired non-disabled temporary workers to cover Plaintiff's work in her absence.
- 24. Defendants did not offer Plaintiff any other position that may have been available for which she would have been qualified.
- 25. Defendants' motivation for terminating Plaintiff was the fact that she is disabled, as well as her need to take FMLA time.
- 26. At all times material, Defendants were hostile to Plaintiff's disability and terminated her because of her disability.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, as well emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as set forth below.

IV. Causes of Action.

COUNT I – TITLE I CLAIM--AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq)

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-27 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 29. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 30. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 31. Defendants are "employers" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq,.
- 32. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 33. Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 34. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 35. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.

36. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT II – VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT (43 P.S. § 955)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-36 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 38. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 39. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 40. Defendants are "employers" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 41. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above. Plaintiff's disability interfered with her day to day life activities.
- 42. Defendants' conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 43. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, loss of tips as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.

- 44. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 45. Pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT III – VIOLATION OF FMLA—FAILURE TO REINSTATE (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-45 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 47. As set forth above, Plaintiff was entitled to medical leave pursuant to the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq..
- 48. Instead of reinstating Plaintiff to her former position upon completion of her approved FMLA leave, Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 49. Defendants failed to reinstate Plaintiff to employment in violation of the provisions of FMLA.
- 50. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 51. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.
- 52. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT IV – VIOLATION OF FMLA—RETALIATION (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-52 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 54. As set forth above, Plaintiff was entitled to medical leave pursuant to the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq.
- 55. Instead of reinstating Plaintiff to her former position upon completion of her FMLA leave, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment, an adverse action.
- 56. Defendants' motivation in terminating Plaintiff's employment was based upon her utilizing FMLA leave.
- 57. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of his earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 58. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.
- 59. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

V. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Michelle Quigley demands judgment in her favor and against Defendants, Iron Mountain, Inc., jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$750,000.00 together with:

- A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: back pay, front pay, past lost wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest, delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

BY:

GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE

Two Penn Center

1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Plaintiff, Michelle Quigley

Date: 2/6/18

EXH. A

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

86 P	nelle Quigley From ercheron Drive ng City, PA 19475	801 Market Street Suite 1300					
		Philadelphia, PA 19107					
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))						
EEOC Charge No. EEOC Representative Telephone No.							
E20 0047	Legal Unit,						
530-2017-03631 Legal Technician (215) 440-2828							
THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:							
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.						
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.						
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.						
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge						
Х	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.						
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair emplo	yment practices agency that investigated this charge.					
	Other (briefly state)	•					
		i de la companya de l					
	- NOTICE OF SUIT RIG (See the additional information attached						
Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)							
Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.							
Enclosures(s	Kevin J. Berry, Acting District Direct	[] [] [Date Mailed)					
	ichael Richardson, Human Resources Mgr Grah	am Baird, Esq. OFFICES OF ERIC A SHORE					

2500 Henderson Drive Sharon Hill, PA 19079

2 Penn Ctr, Ste 1240 1500 JFK Blvd, PO BOX 58519 Philadelphia, PA 19102